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#### Abstract

A novel series of nonpeptide angiotensin II (AII) receptor antagonists is reported, derived from linkage of the biphenylyltetrazole moiety found in previously described antagonists via a methyleneoxy chain to the 4 -position of a 3 -substituted 2,6 -dialkylpyridine. When evaluated in an in vitro binding assay using a guinea pig adrenal membrane preparation, compounds in this series generally gave $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the range $0.005-0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A variety of substituents was found to be effective at the 3 -position of the pyridine ring. On intravenous administration in a normotensive rat model, the more potent compounds inhibited the AII-induced pressor response with $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values in the range $0.1-1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. One of the compounds, 2 -ethyl- $5,6,7,8$-tetrahydro- $4-\left\{\left[2^{\prime}\right.\right.$-( 1 H -tetrazol-5-yl)biphenyl-4-yl]methoxy\}quinoline (26), demonstrated good oral activity in two rat models. At doses in the range $1-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po in AII-infused, conscious, normotensive rats, the compound exhibited a dose-related inhibition of the pressor response with a good duration of action at the higher doses. In a renal hypertensive rat model compound 26 showed a rapid and sustained lowering of blood pressure at a dose of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po. Based on its profile, this compound, designated ICI D6888, has been selected for evaluation in volunteers.


As a potential treatment for hypertension and congestive heart failure, blockade of the renin-angiotensin system by a receptor antagonist of the endogenous vasoconstrictor octapeptide angiotensin II (AII) has long been recognized as an alternative to suppression of AII biosynthesis by inhibition of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE). ${ }^{2}$ Such an agent would be expected to display a similar therapeutic profile to an ACE inhibitor, but mightlack the undesirable side effects thought to be related to potentiation of bradykinin and other biologically significant peptides such as substance P. ${ }^{3}$ Until recently, all known potent AII antagonists have been peptide analogues ${ }^{4}$ and have consequently suffered from all the problems normally associated with peptides, such as poor oral absorption, short plasma half-life, and rapid clearance. ${ }^{5}$ In addition, all have demonstrated partial agonism. ${ }^{5}$
More recently, the first potent, orally active nonpeptide AII antagonists have been described, 6 selected examples of which are shown in Figure 1. Starting from a weakly active lead compound, ${ }^{7}$ extensive structure-activity investigations ${ }^{8,9}$ by the Du Pont group led to potent and specific antagonists such as DuP 753 (losartan, 1). ${ }^{8 c, 9,10}$ This compound displays good oral activity in animal models ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ and is currently undergoing clinical evaluation as an antihypertensive agent. ${ }^{11}$
On the basis of published work, ${ }^{12,8,12}$ a number of structural features desirable for optimal biological activity are apparent in antagonists such as 1 and related compounds. Firstly, compounds containing a biphenylyltetrazole moiety linked to a heterocycle by a methylene group have the best binding affinities and oral potencies. Secondly, a short alkyl chain at the 2-position of the imidazole ring is needed for efficient receptor binding. Finally, the imidazole ring itself is required, most probably as an acceptor in a hydrogen bonding interaction with the receptor.
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2a; R = Me
2b; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$

Figure 1. Selected nonpeptide AII antagonists.
We recently described ${ }^{1 b}$ a novel series of potent, nonpeptide AII antagonists derived from linkage of the biphenylyltetrazole moiety found in previously described antagonists via a methyleneoxy chain to the 4 -position of a 2 -alkylquinoline. Although these antagonists, for example 2a,b, differ structurally from previous series both in the nature of the putative hydrogen bond acceptor (quinoline vs imidazole) and the linking chain from the biphenylyltetrazole moiety (methyleneoxy vs methylene), examination of overlays of the low-energy conformations of each structural type generated by molecular mechanics showed a very good correspondence of the tetrazole groups and of the respective $\mathrm{N}-1$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$ atoms of the quinoline and imidazole rings.
When administered intravenously in a normotensive rat model, compound 2b (ICI D8731) inhibited the AIIinduced pressor response with an $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ value of $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The compound also demonstrated good oral activity in normotensive and renal hypertensive rat models. On the basis of its pharmacological profile, ${ }^{13}$ compound 2 b was selected for clinical evaluation as an antihypertensive agent. In seeking new series of antagonists with improved in vitro and in vivo activity over $\mathbf{2 b}$, we chose to replace the quinoline ring of the existing series by a pyridine ring, and in this paper we describe the synthesis, biological

Table I. Characterization Data for Pyridone Intermediates 3-11


| no. | R ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | formula ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Me | Me | CHO | $>100$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| 4a | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 218-219 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 4b | Me | Et | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 148-150 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
| 4 c | Me | Pr | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 142-144 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 5 | Me | Me | Ph | 231-235 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 6 | Me | Me | 4-Pyridyl | >250 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 7 | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 212-215 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ |
| 8 a | Et | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 176-180 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {b }}$ |
| 8 b | Pr | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 132-136 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
| 8 c | Et | Et | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 127-130 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 8 d | Et | Me | COMe | 172-174 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 8 e | Et | Me | COPh | 202-204 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 9 a | Et |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | 212-214 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 9 b | Et |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ | 196-198 | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 10 | Et |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 226-227 | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 11 | Et | Et | I | 225-227 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ INO |

${ }^{a}$ Analyses for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ were correct within $\pm 0.4 \%$ unless otherwise stated. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, 7.0; found 7.5.
properties, and molecular modeling of this class of compounds.

## Chemistry

The compounds $14-27$ prepared during the course of this work are listed in Table II. The majority were prepared as illustrated in Scheme I for 19-27 by O-alkylation of $4(1 H)$-pyridones 3-11 with (bromomethyl)biphenyl compound $12^{8 \mathrm{c}}$ in DMF using sodium hydride as base, followed by acid-promoted detritylation of the resulting intermediates 13. Compounds $14 a-d$ were obtained similarly from known pyridone precursors. ${ }^{14-16}$ Analogously to our previous work, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 14a-d, 19-27 the benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signal at ca. $\partial 70$ was consistent with O - rather than N -alkylation of the precursor pyridones. In the case of compound 26, an X-ray crystal structure determination (Figure 2) confirmed the regiochemistry of the alkylation step.

The syntheses of compounds 15-17 involved manipulation of the ester functionality in intermediate 13a as summarized in Scheme II. Reduction of 13a provided alcohol 28, which gave 15 on detritylation. Compound 16 was obtained by methylation of 15 followed by detritylation of 29 . For the preparation of 17 , intermediate 28 was converted to chloro compound 30a. Reduction to 30b and detritylation then provided 17. Carboxylic acid 18 was prepared by hydrolysis of 20a.

Characterization data for new pyridones 3-11 prepared during the course of this work are given in Table I. The 3 -formylpyridone 3 was prepared by formylation of 2,6-dimethyl-4 $(1 \mathrm{H})$-pyridone using conditions employed previously for $4(1 \mathrm{H})$-quinolone formylation. ${ }^{17}$ Pyridone 5 was obtained by reaction of 2,6-dimethyl-3-phenyl-4-pyrone ${ }^{18}$ with ammonia. Tetrahydroquinolone 10 was synthesized by catalytic hydrogenation of 2 -ethyl-4( $1 H$ )-quinolone ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ analogously to a previously described reduction of quinolones. ${ }^{19}$ The remaining pyridone precursors were prepared as summarized in Schemes III-VI.

Pyridones 4a-c, 6 were obtained by using diketene chemistry (Scheme III). Heating aminocrotonate esters 31a-c with diketene provided 4a-c directly. ${ }^{16}$ An analogous reaction of ketone 32 with diketene gave pyrone 33, which was converted to 6 by reaction with ammonia.

The 3-benzylpyridone derivative 7 was prepared (Scheme IV) by O-benzylation of 2,6-dimethyl-3-iodo-4(1H)-pyridone, ${ }^{15}$ followed by palladium-catalyzed coupling of the resulting intermediate 34 with benzyl bromide. Debenzylation of 35 then provided 7 .
Pyridones 8a-e were synthesized (Scheme V) using acyl Meldrum's acid derivatives $\mathbf{3 6 a}$,b. ${ }^{20}$ Heating 36a,b with aminocrotonate esters 31a,b or aminobutenones 31d, e gave the pyridones directly. An analogous reaction of enamines 37a,b with 36a provided pyrone derivatives 38a,b, which were converted to pyridones $9 a, b$ by reaction with ammonia.

For the preparation of iodopyridone 11 (Scheme VI), pyridone ester 8 c was hydrolyzed and decarboxylated, and the resulting symmetrical pyridone 40 was treated with iodine.

## In Vitro AII Antagonism

Compounds 14-27 (Table II) were evaluated as antagonists of AII in a radioligand binding assay involving displacement of [ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]AII from a guinea pig adrenal membrane preparation, which corresponds to the $\mathrm{AT}_{1}$ receptor subtype. ${ }^{21} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in this assay for 1 and $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ are included in Table II for comparison.

The simplest compound prepared, the 2,6-dimethylpyridine derivative 14 a , showed a ca. 20 -fold reduction in binding affinity compared with the corresponding quinoline derivative $2 a$. This reduction in affinity could be redressed by introduction of a variety of substituents at the 3 -position of the pyridine ring. Thus substitution by halo (14b), aryl (21), heteroaryl (22), benzyl (23), and electron-withdrawing groups (14c,d, 20a) all gave compounds with significantly improved affinity. In contrast, introduction of a methyl group (17), a substituted methylene group ( 15,16 ), or a formyl group (19) led to only a modest increase in binding. Compound 18 containing a carboxyl group at the pyridine 3 -position showed significantly reduced binding affinity.

With a methoxycarbonyl group at the pyridine 3-position, a limited range of alkyl substituents at the 2 - and 6 -positions were explored. Whereas at the 2-position little discrimination was seen between methyl, ethyl, and propyl groups (20a-c), at the 6-position ethyl and propyl substitution (24a-c) gave a 5 - 10 -fold increase in binding affinity over the methyl-substituted compound (20a). With an ethyl group at the 6-position, selected substituents were then introduced at the 3-position to give compounds 24d,e, 27, all of which showed good affinity.
Finally, the 2-and 3-positions of the pyridine ring were joined in a saturated ring by a chain three to give atoms in length. The resulting compounds 25a,b, 26 all demonstrated good receptor antagonism, with the compounds with three- and four-carbon chains displaying the highest affinity.

Introduction of substituents at the pyridine 5-position was not attempted, since in our earlier work ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ on quinolinebased antagonists such as 2a,b incorporation of a methyl group at the analogous position caused a major reduction in binding affinity.

The potency and specificity of compound 26 was also assessed by analyzing dose-tension curves to AII in isolated guinea pig ileum. At concentrations of 0.73 and 3.65 nM , the compound produced dose-related, parallel-rightward shifts in the AII dose-response curves without depressing maximum responses to the agonist, a pattern of activity

Table II. Characterization, in Vitro AII Antagonism, and in Vivo Activity of Compounds 1, 2a,b, and 14-27


14-27

| no. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | formula ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}^{\text {b }}$ | iv $E D_{50}, \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.018 | $0.65 \pm 0.21$ |
| 2a |  |  |  |  |  | 0.016 | $0.73 \pm 0.14$ |
| 2b |  |  |  |  |  | 0.031 | $1.0 \pm 0.42$ |
| 14a | Me | Me | H | 223-225 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.30 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| 14b | Me | Me | I | 237-245 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{IN} \mathrm{N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.034 | $0.49 \pm 0.08$ |
| 14 c | Me | Me | COMe | 138-141 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.17 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.030 | $0.48 \pm 0.07$ |
| 14d | Me | Me | COPh | 198-200 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.006 | $0.13 \pm 0.03$ |
| 15 | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 222-224 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{EtOH}$ | 0.12 | $1.60 \pm 0.86$ |
| 16 | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | 191-193 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ | 0.086 | $0.39 \pm 0.12$ |
| 17 | Me | Me | Me | 210-212 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}{ }^{8}$ | 0.13 | $0.31 \pm 0.19$ |
| 18 | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 235-237 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{0} 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.17 |  |
| 19 | Me | Me | CHO | 124-130 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.19 | $4.85 \pm 3.43$ |
| 20a | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 137-140 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.050 | $0.13 \pm 0.06$ |
| 20b | Me | Et | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 189-190 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.023 | $1.99 \pm 1.59$ |
| 20c | Me | Pr | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 162-163 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.054 | $0.62 \pm 0.29$ |
| 21 | Me | Me | Ph | 142-144 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.006 | $1.12 \pm 0.51$ |
| 22 | Me | Me | 4-pyridyl | 178-180 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.025 | $0.21 \pm 0.15$ |
| 23 | Me | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 211-214 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.014 | $0.28 \pm 0.11$ |
| 24a | Et | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 152-154 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.005 | $0.13 \pm 0.06$ |
| 24b | Pr | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 105-110 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.009 | $1.03 \pm 0.12$ |
| 24c | Et | Et | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 174-175 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.005 | $0.15 \pm 0.05$ |
| 24d | Et | Me | COMe | 140-142 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.008 | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ |
| 24e | Et | Me | COPh | 211-213 | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.004 | $0.34 \pm 0.05$ |
| 25a | Et |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | 212-214 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.008 | $1.05 \pm 0.22$ |
| 26b | Et |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ | 208-211 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.025 | $0.78 \pm 0.36$ |
| 26 | Et |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 232-233 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.05 | $0.39 \pm 0.07$ |
| 27 | Et | Et | I | 201-205 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.014 | $0.44 \pm 0.15$ |

${ }^{a}$ Analyses for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ were correct within $\pm 0.4 \%$ unless otherwise stated. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for inhibition of specific binding of [125I]AH to a guinea pig adrenal membrane preparation ( $n=1-3$, see ref la for description of assay). ${ }^{c} E D_{50}$ following intravenous administration to conscious rats for inhibition of pressor response induced by infusion of AII. Mean $\pm$ SE values are given ( $n=3-10$, see refs la,b for description of assay). ${ }^{d} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 64.2; found 63.7. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Compound too insoluble for in vivo testing. $f \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 58.3 ; found 58.8 .8 N ; C: calcd, 64.8 ; found 64.2; H : calcd, 5.4 ; found 6.1.

## Scheme Is


consistent with competitive antagonism. Schild analysis gave a $p A_{2}$ value of 9.7. The slope of the Schild regression line was not significantly different from minus one, again in accord with competitive antagonism.

## Molecular Modeling Studies

In our previous paper ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ we described the low-energy conformations of imidazole- and 4-alkoxyquinoline-derived AII antagonists. In these studies the low-energy conformations of the model structural fragments 42 and 44 (Figure 3) were calculated using AESOP 2.3. ${ }^{22}$ Of the three conformations identified for each fragment, the helix-1 conformation of 42 and the gauche conformation of 44 were seen to be similar. The low-energy conformations of
structures $2 a$ and 43 (a model structure for 1) were then obtained by combining the low-energy conformations of 42 and 44 with the low-energy conformations of the biphenylyltetrazole moiety 41, also derived from AESOP calculations. When the low-energy conformations of the protonated forms of $2 a$ and 43 were overlaid using ENIGMA, ${ }^{23}$ a good correspondence of the tetrazole groups and of the $\mathrm{N}-1$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$ atoms of the quinoline and imidazole rings was seen for the gauche and helix-1 conformations of $2 a$ and 43 , respectively.
A similar analysis was performed for the 4-alkoxypyridine derivative 26 as a representative member of the series. As a model for compound 26, structure 45 (Figure 3) was used. Of the three rotatable bonds in the methyleneoxy


Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of compound 26.
part of the structure, $\phi_{1}$ is quite rigid. Thus for 45 , in close analogy to $44,{ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ a planar conformation with the methylene turned away from the steric repulsion of the peri hydrogen atoms might be expected, with $\phi_{2}$ adopting either the gauche (ca. $\pm 60^{\circ}$ ) or anti (ca. $180^{\circ}$ ) conformation. A much wider range of low-energy conformations than seen for $44^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ was detected by AESOP for 45 due to subtle conformational variations of the methylene groups of the tetrahydroquinoline ring. Among these conformations, with respect to the conformation of the methyleneoxy link, those conformations that are gauche and anti are directly analogous to the conformations reported previously for 44. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ The anti conformation of 45 is similar to that observed in the X-ray crystal structure of 26 (Figure 2), even though this conformation is predicted to be slightly higher in energy than the gauche conformation. An analogous correspondence between the anti conformation of 44 and the crystal structure of $2 a$ was seen in our previous work. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$
Each of the low-energy conformations of structure 45 can be combined with the two twisted conformations of biphenylyltetrazole moiety $41^{\text {b }}$ to give the low-energy conformations of 26. This results in a total of four biphenyl orientations for each overall conformation of the heterocyclic and linking group moieties. As an example, one of these orientations is shown as a stereopair in Figure 4 for the gauche conformation of 26 in the protonated form. In total, the three conformations of $\mathbf{4 5}$ combined with the four biphenyl orientations give 12 low-energy conformations for 26.
The low-energy conformations of the protonated form 26 were overlaid with the low-energy conformations of the protonated form of structure $43^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ using ENIGMA. As previously, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ simple visual examination of the conformations of 42 and 45 highlighted the similarity of the helix-1 and gauche structures. As shown in Figure 5 for one of the biphenylyltetrazole orientations, this similarity carries over to 26 and 43. In this and the overlays with the other biphenyl orientations (not shown), a very good correspondence of the tetrazole groups and of the respective
$\mathrm{N}-1$ and $\mathrm{N}-3$ atoms of the pyridine and imidazole rings can be seen. In addition, good fits of the rings of the biphenyl units and of the alkyl groups at the 2 -positions of the pyridine and imidazole rings are also observed. The close overlays of the helix-1 and gauche conformations are consistent with the imidazole-, alkoxyquinoline-, and alkoxypyridine-derived series of antagonists acting as a common pharmacophore at the AII receptor.

## Pharmacological Evaluation

The compounds listed in Table II were evaluated for AII antagonism in vivo by determining their intravenous $E D_{50}$ values for inhibition of the pressor response induced by infusion of AII in conscious, normotensive rats as described previously. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ The $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values obtained in this model for 1 and $2 a, b$ are included in Table II as standards.
For compound 14a low solubility precluded in vivo evaluation. For the remaining compounds, potency in vivo broadly follows the relative affinities determined in the in vitro binding assay. The majority of the compounds gave $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values of less than $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, with the more potent members of the series such as 14d, 20a, 22, 24a,c, and 26 being superior to 1 and 2a,b. Like the earlier quinolinebased antagonists, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ these compounds showed no evidence for partial agonism in this model.
Selected compounds were evaluated orally in an AIIinfused, conscious, normotensive rat model. The data for compound 26 at doses of 1,3 , and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ are presented in Figure 6, showing a dose-related inhibition of pressor response with a duration of action lasting for the 7 -h time course of the experiment at the $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose. For comparison, the effect of 1 (DuP 753) in the same animal model at a dose of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po is also shown. Analogs $14 \mathrm{~d}, 20 \mathrm{a}, 22,24 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$, which showed comparable $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values to 26 on intravenous dosing, were also comparable in potency and duration of effect to 26 when dosed orally (data not shown). The pharmacological profile of these compounds in this model thus represents an improvement over the earlier clinical candidate 2b. ${ }^{16,13 a}$
Compound 26 also showed good activity in a renal hypertensive rat model. When administered orally at a dose of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Figure 7), the compound had a rapid effect in reducing the blood pressure of rats with renal hypertension. The blood pressure of the animals was normalized within 2 h of dosing, and the effect was still evident 8 and 24 h after dosing. In contrast, the effects of 26 in normotensive, sham-operated rats were small (data not shown), consistent with a specific antihypertensive effect in renal hypertensive rats. Again, the potency of this compound in this model is improved relative to $2 \mathrm{~b} .{ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$
Compound 26, designated ICI D6888, is thus a potent, competitive, and orally active AII antagonist lacking agonist activity. On the basis of its improved profile over the earlier clinical candidate $\mathbf{2 b}$ on more detailed pharmacological evaluation, this compound has been selected for clinical investigation as an antihypertensive agent.

## Summary

This paper describes a novel series of potent, orally active, nonpeptide AII receptor antagonists derived from linkage of the biphenylyltetrazole moiety found in previously described antagonists, such as 1 (DuP 753) and 2b (ICI D8731), via a methyleneoxy chain to the 4 -position of a 3 -substituted 2,6 -dialkylpyridine. Molecularmodeling studies for a representative member of the series identified

Scheme II ${ }^{2}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} / \mathrm{THF}$; (ii) $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOH}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{MeI} / \mathrm{DMF}$; (iv) $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (v) $\mathrm{NaI} / \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO} /$ reflux; (vi) $\mathrm{NaBH} 3 \mathrm{CN} /$ DMF.
Scheme III ${ }^{\text {a }}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{AcOH} / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH} / 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Scheme IV ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\left.\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{Pd}^{\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{THF} /$ reflux; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{MeOH}$.
low-energy conformations analogous to those proposed previously for members of the corresponding 4 -alkoxy2 -alkylquinoline series of antagonists, such as $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$. Certain of these conformations again overlay well with particular conformations of a model analogue of imidazole derivative 1.

When evaluated in an in vitro binding assay using a guinea pig adrenal membrane preparation, compounds in this series generally gave $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the range $0.005-$ $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A variety of substituents was found to be effective at the 3 -position of the pyridine ring. On intravenous administration in a conscious, normotensive rat model,
the compounds inhibited the AII-induced pressor response with $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values generally in the range $0.1-1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Potencies broadly reflect the relative affinities determined in the in vitro binding assay. One of the compounds, 26, demonstrated good oral activity in two rat models. At doses in the range $1-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po in AII-infused, normotensive rats, the compound exhibited a dose-related inhibition of the pressor response with a good duration of action at the higher doses. In a renal hypertensive rat model compound 26 showed a rapid and sustained lowering of blood pressure at a dose of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po. Based on its

## Scheme Va



${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH} / 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Scheme VIa


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ reflux; (ii) $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
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Figure 4. Stereoview of the protonated form of compound 26 in the gauche conformation showing one of the four possible biphenyl orientations.
reported as $\partial$ values (parts per million) relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard. Chemical ionization mass spectra (CIMS) were recorded on a VG 12-12 quadrapole or a VG 70-250 SE spectrometer. Positive or negative fast-atom bombardment mass spectra (FABMS) were determined on a VG ZAB 2-SE or a VG modified AE1/Kratos MS9 spectrometer. The experimental procedures for measuring AII antagonism in vitro in guinea pig adrenal membranes and in vivo in AII-infused, conscious rats or renal hypertensive rats have been described previously. ${ }^{1}$

2,6-Dimethyl-3-formyl-4(1H)-pyridone (3). Chloroform (12 $\mathrm{mL}, 17.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in $1-\mathrm{mL}$ portions over a period of 2 h to a refluxing solution of 2,6-dimethyl-4(1H)-pyridone ${ }^{15}$ ( $6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(112 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was heated under reflux for 6 h , cooled, and acidified to pH 6 with AcOH . Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue


Figure 5. Stereoview of the overlay of the protonated forms of structures 26 (colored green) and 43 (colored red) in the gauche and helix- 1 conformations, respectively, showing one of the four possible biphenyl orientations.


Figure 6. Effects of compounds 26 and 1 (DuP 753) after oral dosing to AII-infused, conscious rats. Effects are expressed as a percentage inhibition of the pressor response induced by AII infusion. Mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ values are shown ( $n=4-20$ ).
was extracted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give $3(2.1 \mathrm{~g}$, $28 \%$ ): mp $>100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $2.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.5 (s, 3 H ), 6.1 (s, 1 H ), 10.25 (s, 1 H ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Methyl 1,4-Dihydro-2,6-dimethyl-4-oxopyridine-3-carboxylate (4a). Methyl 3 -aminocrotonate ( $9.0 \mathrm{~g}, 78.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diketene ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 78.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were heated together at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The residue was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $\mathbf{4 a}$ ( 5.7 $\mathrm{g}, 40 \%$ ): mp 218-219 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2.2(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.7$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H}), 5.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.3(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; CIMS m/e $182(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Pyridones 4b,c were prepared by analogous procedures from the appropriate aminocrotonate precursor.
2,6-Dimethyl-3-(4-pyridyl)-4-pyrone (33). Diketene (27.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 1-(4-pyridyl)-2-propanone ( $20.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in AcOH ( 100 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , and then volatile material was removed by evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $1: 19 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to give $33\left(21.6 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%\right.$ ) as a foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 2.3 (s, 3 H ), 2.35 (s, 3 H ), 6.2 (s, 1 H ), 7.2 (dd, 2 H ), 8.65 (dd, 2 $\mathrm{H})$; CIMS m/e $202(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.


Figure 7. Effects of compound 26 on mean arterial pressure after oral dosing at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to renal hypertensive, conscious rats. Mean $\pm$ SE values are shown ( $n=6-15$ ).

2,6-Dimethyl-3-(4-pyridyl)-4(1H)-pyridone (6). A solution of $33(8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 44.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in saturated ethanolic ammonia ( 150 mL ) was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an autoclave for 67 h . Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to give $6(5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%)$ : mp $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 2.1 (s, 3 H ), 2.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.0 (s, 1 H ), 7.2 (d, 1 H ), 8.5 (br s, 2 H ), 11.2 (br s, 1 H ); CIMS m/e 201 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Pyridone 5 was prepared by an analogous procedure from 2,6-dimethyl-3-phenyl-4-pyrone. ${ }^{18}$

2,6-Dimethyl-3-iodo-4-(phenylmethoxy)pyridine (34). 2,6-Dimethyl-3-iodo- $4(1 \mathrm{H})$-pyridone ${ }^{16}(6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 26.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of oil-free $\mathrm{NaH}(0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 26.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 35 mL ). When evolution of hydrogen ceased, benzyl chloride ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 26.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The mixture was added to water ( 150 mL ), and the precipitated solid was filtered off and dried under vacuum to give $34(5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%): \mathrm{mp} 68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.45$ (s, 3 H ), 2.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

2,6-Dimethyl-4-(phenylmethoxy)-3-(phenylmethyl)pyridine (35). A mixture of activated $\mathrm{Zn}(290 \mathrm{mg}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzyl bromide ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was stirred for 1 h . Intermediate 34 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( 50 mg ). The mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h , and then volatile material was removed by evaporation. EDTA ( 2 g ) in water $(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the residue, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times$ 20 mL ). The extracts were washed with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20$ mL ), water ( 20 mL ), and saturated brine ( 20 mL ) and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the
residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with EtOAc/hexane ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to give 35 ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ) as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2.5(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.6(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$.

2,6-Dimethyl-3-(phenylmethyl)-4(1H)-pyridone (7). A solution of 35 ( $375 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 5 mL ) was catalytically hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$. When hydrogen uptake ceased, the catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated by evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with EtOAc/hexane ( $1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to give $7\left(191 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%\right.$ ): mp $212-215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.2(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.9(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.0-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ H ), 12.35 (br s, 1 H ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Methyl 1,4-Dihydro-6-ethyl-2-methyl-4-oxopyridine-3carboxylate (8a). A mixture of methyl 3-aminocrotonate (5.0 $\mathrm{g}, 43.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 5-(1-hydroxypropylidene)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione ${ }^{21}$ ( $36 \mathrm{a} ; 10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated at 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The residue was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and a mixture of EtOAc and hexane ( $1: 6 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 35$ mL ) was added. The mixture was left to stand for 18 h , and the solvent was decanted off. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give $8 \mathrm{a}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%): \operatorname{mp~} 176-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.2(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; CIMS m/e $196(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; N : calcd, 7.0 ; found 7.5.

Pyridones $8 b$ (from 31a and $36 b$ ), 8 c (from 31 b and $36 a$ ), 8 d (from 31d and 36a), and 8 e (from 31e and 36a) were prepared by analogous procedures.

2-Ethyl-1,5,6,7-tetrahydro-4(1H)-cyclopenta[b]pyridone (9a). A mixture of $36 \mathrm{a}^{21}(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $4-(1-$ cyclopenten-1-yl)morpholine ( $37 \mathrm{a} ; 7.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 19 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give a mixture of 6,7-dihydro-2-ethyl-4(5H)-cyclopenta[b]pyrone (38a) and 4-(1,3dioxopentyl)morpholine. The mixture was heated with concentrated ammonia solution ( 150 mL ) at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an autoclave for 15 h . Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was separated, acidified to pH 6 with concentrated HCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give $9 \mathrm{a}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%): \mathrm{mp} 212-$ $214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) 1.5 (t, 3 H ), 1.9-2.05 (m, 2 H ), $2.35-2.6(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.8(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.8(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 11.3(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; CIMS $m / e 164(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Pyridone 9b was prepared by an analogous procedure from 36a and 37b.
2-Ethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4(1H)-quinolone (10). 2-Ethyl$4(1 H)$-quinolone ${ }^{1 b}(30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was catalytically hydrogenated over $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~g})$ at atmospheric pressure. When hydrogen uptake ceased, the catalyst was removed by filtration through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated. The residual oil was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $10(22.6 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%): \operatorname{mp} 226-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.2(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.3(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2,6-Diethy1-1,4-dihydro-4-oxopyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (39). $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of methyl 2,6-diethyl-1,4-dihydro-4-oxopyridine-3-carboxylate (8c; $2.93 \mathrm{~g}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was heated under reflux for 48 h . Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was dissolved in water ( 50 mL ). The solution was washed with EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and acidified to pH 4 with 1 M citric acid solution. The precipitated solid was collected by filtration and dried under high vacuum to give 39 ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 238-240^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec} \boldsymbol{}^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.3(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.7 (q, 2 H ), 3.3 (q, 2 H ), 6.45 (s, 1 H ), 12.1 (br s, 1 H ).

2,6-Diethyl-4( $1 H$ )-pyridone (40). Carboxylic acid 39 ( 1.0 g , 5.1 mmol ) was heated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sublimation apparatus. The sublimate was collected and purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give $40(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ :
$\operatorname{mp} 103-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.3(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.2$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 12.6 (br s, 1 H ).

2,6-Diethyl-3-iodo-4(1H)-pyridone (11). Iodine ( 720 mg , $2.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution $40(430 \mathrm{mg}, 2.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h . The insoluble solid was collected by filtration and purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 19 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give $11(290 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%): \mathrm{mp} 225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) 1.15 (t, 6 H ), 2.5 ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.8 ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.9 ( s , 1 H ), 11.4 (br s, 1 H ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{INO}$ ) C, H, N.

2-Ethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4-\{[2'-(2-(triphenylmethyl)-2H-tetrazol-5-yl)biphenyl-4-yl]methoxy\}quinoline ( $13 ; \mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathbf{E t}$, $\left.\mathbf{R}^{2}, \mathbf{R}^{3}=\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{4}\right) . \mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ dispersion in oil; $140 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to stirred suspension of $10(640 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 20 mL ). When evolution of hydrogen ceased, $5-\left[2-\left[4^{\prime}-\right.\right.$ (bromomethyl) biphenylyl]]-2-(triphenylmethyl)-2H-tetrazole ${ }^{8 c}(12 ; 2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and water ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was separated, washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ), and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Evaporation gave a foam, which was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with EtOAc/hexane ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to give $13\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right.$; $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ): $\operatorname{mp} 111-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $1.2(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.6-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-6.9$ (m, 6 H ), 7.1 (d, 2 H$), 7.25-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.7(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.8$ (dd, 1 H ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{EtOAc} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Intermediates 13 for the preparation of final compounds $14 a-$ $\mathrm{d}, 19-25$, and 27 were prepared from the appropriate pyridone precursor by analogous procedures.

2-Ethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4-\{[2'-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl)bi-phenyl-4-y1]methoxy\}quinoline Hydrochloride (26). Concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 1.5 mL ) was added to a suspension of $13\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right)$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(3$ mL ), and the mixture was warmed gently until a clear solution was obtained. The mixture was left to stand for 2 h , and the precipitated solid was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Recrystallization from MeOH gave 26 ( 560 $\mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ): mp $232-233^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 1.3 (t, 3 H ), $1.7-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.6-2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.9-3.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.5(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.2(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.8(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) 70.8; FABMS m/e $410(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compounds 14-17, 19-25, and 27 were prepared by analogous procedures.

2,6-Dimethy1-3-(hydroxymethyl)-4-\{[2'-[2-(triphenyl-methyl)-2H-tetrazol-5-yl]biphenyl-4-yl]methoxy\}pyridine (28). A 1.0 M DIBAL-H solution in toluene ( $41.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of methyl 2,6-dimethyl-4-\{[2'-[2-(triphenylmethyl)-2H-tetrazol-5-yl]biphenyl-4-yl]-methoxy\}pyridine-3-carboxylate ( $13 \mathrm{a} ; 12.6 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 40 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by a saturated solution of Rochelle's salt in water ( 100 mL ). The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the extracts were washed with saturated brine ( 100 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was triturated with $\mathrm{EtOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give $28(10.9 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%): \mathrm{mp}$ $110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}\right) 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.7(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.4(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.45 (m, 12 H ), 7.5-7.8 (m, 3 H ), 7.9 (dd, 1 H ); FABMS m/e $630(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

2,6-Dimethyl-3-(methoxymethyl)-4-\{[2'-[2-(triphenyl-methyl)-2H-tetrazol-5-yl]biphenyl-4-yl]methoxy\}pyridine (29). Oil-free $\mathrm{NaH}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $28(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 30 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . Iodomethane ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 684 \mathrm{mg}, 4.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was left to stand for 18 h . Water $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were washed with saturated brine ( 50 mL ) and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $1: 49 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, changing on a gradient to $1: 19 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to give 29 ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 132-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ ) $2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45$ (s, 2 H ), 5.25 (s, 2 H ), 6.8-7.9 (m, 24 H ).

3-(Chloromethyl)-2,6-dimethyl-4-\{[2'-[2-(triphenylmeth-y1)-2H-tetrazol-5-yl]biphenyl-4-yl]methoxy\}pyridine (30a). Triethylamine ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $1.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $28(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was left to stand for 20 h , and then water ( 150 mL ) was added. The organic layer was separated, washed with saturated brine ( 150 mL ), and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 19 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give $30 \mathrm{a}(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ : $\mathrm{mp} 142-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ ) 2.5 (s, 3 H ), $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.9$ (complex m, 24 H ); FABMS m/e $648(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

2,3,6-Trimethyl-4-\{[ $2^{\prime}$-[2-(triphenylmethyl)-2H-tetrazol-$5-\mathrm{yl}]$ biphenyl-4-yl]methoxy\}pyridine (30b). A solution of 30 a ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaI}(232 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (20 mL ) was heated under reflux for 6 h . The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was separated, washed with saturated brine ( 20 mL ), and dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). Volatile material was removed by evaporation, and the residue was dissolved in DMF ( 25 mL ). Sodium cyanoborohydride ( 221 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h . The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 20 mL ). The organic phase was separated, washed with saturated brine ( 20 mL ), and dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 19 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, to give 30 b ( 650 mg , $69 \%$ ): mp $136-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ ) 2.1 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.5(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.6(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.2-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$; FABMS $m / e 614(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
2,6-Dimethyl-4-\{[2'-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl)biphenyl-4-yl]-methoxy\}pyridine-3-carboxylic Acid (18). A solution of compound 20 a ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was heated under reflux for 2 h . The solution was cooled and acidified to pH 3 with 6 M hydrochloric acid. The precipitated solid was collected by filtration and triturated with hot $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give 3 h ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 235-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ ) $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.2 (d, 2 H ), 7.4 (d, 2 H ), $7.45-7.75$ (m, 4 H ); FABMS m/e 400 $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})$-. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of 26. Crystals of 26 were obtained from methanol: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$; monoclinic space group $P 1$ (No. 2); cell constants $a=12.823(3) \AA, b=11.895(3) \AA, c=$ $9.363(2) \AA, \alpha=112.90(2)^{\circ}, \beta=72.86(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=118.33(2)^{\circ}, U=$ $1147.29 \AA^{3}$, and $D_{c}=1.256 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. Data were recorded using a Philips PW1100 diffractometer with a constant scan width of $0.80^{\circ}$ in the $\theta$ range $3-23^{\circ}$, using graphite crystal monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. A total of 3181 reflections were measured with a $\theta-2 \theta$ scan mode, and no significant change occurred in three reference reflections which were checked every 5 h . Lorenz polarization corrections were applied to the data, and equivalent reflections were merged to give a total of 1837 unique reflections with $I / \sigma(I)>2.0$. The structure was solved using the direct methods routine of SHELX86, assuming the centrosymmetric space group $P 1$ (No. 2), which was confirmed by subsequent satisfactory refinement. All non-hydrogen atoms were located, and preliminary refinement showed very high thermal parameters for two of the carbon atoms of the saturated ring and the terminal carbon atom of the ethyl group. It proved possible to resolve the atoms of the ring into two components of equal site population corresponding to a $50: 50$ disorder of the structure, but the carbon atom of the terminal methyl group was not resolved. A differenceFourier syntheses with $\sin \theta$ less than 0.35 revealed the positions of all carbon-bonded hydrogen atoms except those of the ethyl group. For consistency all hydrogen atoms (except that bonded to the tetrazole ring) were included at calculated positions, and all those of the disordered ring were allowed to ride on the atoms to which they were bonded, but those of the terminal carbon atom of the ethyl group and the protonated quinoline nitrogen atom were not used in structure factor calculation. The hydrogen atoms were assigned common isotropic thermal parameters which refined to final values of $0.12 \AA^{2}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ and $0.06 \AA^{2}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$; the hydrogen atom of the tetrazole ring was allowed to refine without constraint (final $U 0.07 \AA^{2}$ ). In the final cycles of full-matrix
refinement, with the two components of the disordered ring refining in alternate cycles, anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned to all the non-hydrogen atoms, except the two disordered carbon atoms. Weights were applied to the individual reflections as $1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ and refinement converged at $R 0.0750$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}} 0.0679$, with a total of 286 refined parameters. Neutral scattering factors, corrected for the real and imaginary parts of the anomalous scattering, were used for all atoms and were taken from International Tables of X-Ray Crystallography, Volume 4.

Guinea Pig Ileum Preparation. Guinea pigs of either sex were killed by cervical dislocation, and a length of ileum was removed and placed in oxygenated Krebs buffer of the following composition (mM): $\mathrm{NaCl} 118 ; \mathrm{KCl} 4.75 ; \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 2.54 ; \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1.2$; $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} 25 ; \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} 0.93$; glucose 11. Segments of 3-4-cmlength were mounted for isotonic tension recording in $20-\mathrm{mL}$ organ baths containing Krebs solution at $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and were oxygenated with $95 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Dose-tension curves to AII were constructed in the absence and the presence of the compound at single concentrations ( 0.73 or $3.65 \mathrm{nM}, n=4$ for each concentration). A $p A_{2}$ value was estimated under equilibrium conditions by the method of Arundlakshana and Schild. ${ }^{24}$
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